# Refining building blocks in quantum algorithms for electronic structure computations



#### César Feniou

Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, UMR 7616 CNRS, Paris 75005, France Advanced Research Department, Qubit Pharmaceuticals





with  $H = H^{\dagger}$  and  $H = \sum_{k=0}^{n} E_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$ 



with  $H = H^{\dagger}$  and  $H = \sum_{k=0}^{n} E_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$ 



The measurement gives an estimation of the phase, here the **ground state energy**:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \cos\left(\frac{E_0 t}{2}\right), \quad \mathcal{P}_1 = \sin\left(\frac{E_0 t}{2}\right)$$

with  $H = H^{\dagger}$  and  $H = \sum_{k=0}^{n} E_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$ 



with 
$$H = H^{\dagger}$$
 and  $H = \sum_{k=0}^{n} E_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k |$ 

The measurement gives an estimation of the phase, here the **ground state energy**:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \cos\left(\frac{E_0t}{2}\right), \quad \mathcal{P}_1 = \sin\left(\frac{E_0t}{2}\right)$$

#### Two challenges:

- 1. Preparing the ground state of H
- 2. Implementing the unitary evolution



01

Context - Quantum algorithm & chemistry

02

Overlap-ADAPT-VQE - Variational state preparation

03

**U4** 

Oracle decomposition

- Multi-control-NOT gate

Conclusions and Perspectives



# 01 Context -

Quantum algorithms for quantum chemistry

#### Mapping chemistry to qubits - 2nd quantisation & Jordan-Wigner

Electronic hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_{i} \frac{\nabla_{r_i}^2}{2} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{Z_i}{|R_i - r_j|} + \sum_{i,j>i} \frac{Z_i Z_j}{|R_i - R_j|} + \sum_{i,j>i} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|}$$

Hamiltonian discretisation : 2nd quantisation

$$H := \sum_{p,q} h_{pq} a_p^{\dagger} a_q + \sum_{p,q,r,s} h_{pqrs} a_p^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} a_s a_q$$

Wavefunctions <u>antisymmetric under particle exchange</u> = fermionic operators satisfy anticommutation relations.

$$\left\{a_p, a_q^{\dagger}\right\} := a_p a_q^{\dagger} + a_q^{\dagger} a_p = \delta_{pq} \quad ext{and} \quad \left\{a_p, a_q\right\} := a_p a_q + a_q a_p = 0$$

Mapping chemistry to qubits - Occupation basis & Jordan-Wigner

Mapping fermions to qubits & excitation operators to quantum circuits

Occupation basis : each qubit stores the occupation of a spin orbital

Spin-orbital : unoccupied  $\rightarrow |0\rangle$  , occupied  $\rightarrow |1\rangle$ 

Hartree-Fock state

 $|\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}
angle = |1
angle^m \otimes |0
angle^{n-m}$ 

Jordan-Wigner tranform

$$a_{p} = \left(\bigotimes_{i=0}^{p-1} Z_{i}\right) \otimes \frac{X_{p} + iY_{p}}{2} \qquad a_{p} |0\rangle_{p} = 0 \qquad a_{p}^{\dagger} |0\rangle_{p} = |1\rangle_{p}$$
$$a_{p} |1\rangle_{p} = |0\rangle_{p} \qquad a_{p}^{\dagger} |1\rangle_{p} = 0$$

## Quantum chemistry : electronic wavefunction

Full-Configuration-Interaction (FCI) wavefunction

$$\Psi_{\rm FCI} = c_{\rm HF} \Psi_{\rm HF} + \sum_{ar} c_a^r \Phi_a^r + \sum_{a < b, r < s} c_{ab}^{rs} \Phi_{ab}^{rs} + \sum_{a < b < c, r < s < t} c_{abc}^{rst} \Phi_{abc}^{rst} + \dots$$

Virtual		 ↑		 _↓	→ — ←		<b>↑</b> <b>↓</b> ↓
Occupied	+ ↓ ↓ HF	→ ↓ ↓ S-type	↑ ↑ ↑ ↓ S-type	 ↑↓ ↓ D-type	↑ ↓ ↓ D-type	↑ ↓ ↑↓ <i>T</i> -type	← ← ↓ Q-type

Number of Configuration State Functions grow **exponentially** with system size

**Quantum computer** stores the **exponentially** increasing wave-function with a **linear number of qubits** 

The Quantum Phase Estimation algorithm

- 1. Initialisation :  $|\psi
  angle = \sum_i a_i |\psi_i
  angle$
- 2. Projection : ctrl-exp(i Ht) and QFT  $^{+}$
- 3. Final state:  $\sum_{i} \alpha_i | \operatorname{bin}(\varphi_i) \rangle | \psi_i \rangle$

Where  $|\text{bin}(\ensuremath{\,\phi_i})\rangle$  is a binary estimate of the  $\ensuremath{\it i-th}$  eigenvalue

- $\rightarrow$  Ground state projection with success probability = |  $\alpha_0|^2$
- → Algorithm complexity dominated by ctrl-exp( *i* Ht) polynomial *assuming efficient initialisation,* i. e. <u>exponential advantage</u> over exact diagonalisation



The original QPE algorithm



The initial state should be :

- 1. A good approximation of the Hamiltonian ground state
- 2. Implemented in the quantum device with a compact circuit



- The Hamiltonian evolution operator should be efficiently implemented, as it **dominates the overall algorithm complexity**
- Brute force Jordan-Wigner and first order Trotter :

the operation can be implemented with a depth of O(N<sup>4</sup>)

VQE relies on the variat  $\langle \psi(\theta) | H | \psi(\theta) \rangle \geq E_0$ 

 $|\psi(\theta)\rangle$  is a parameterised quantum state (ansatz)  $E_0$  is the lowest eigenvalue: the ground-state energy.

This implies we can approximate the ground-state wavefunction and its energy by computing:

 $\min_{\theta} \ \langle \psi(\theta) | H | \psi(\theta) \rangle$ 



#### Challenge: Ansatz trainability, expressivity and circuit depth





#### Limitations :

- Noisy optimisation of a multi-dimensional non-convex cost function, with limited energy evaluations.
- Convergence to very accurate energy (chemical accuracy) is uncertain, it needs an ansatz of
   (i) high trainability, (ii) high expressivity, and (iii) a compact quantum circuit.
- Quantum resource estimates are pessimistic about having an advantage with VQE in chemistry.

#### But:

- Quantum state preparation for QPE is less critical, as it only needs to prepare a state having a non-zero overlap with the ground state.
- VQE could provide an accurate parameterised quantum state as initial state for QPE



Typical Hardware-efficient ansatz : trainability issues

#### ADAPT-VQE : compact and accurate ansatze

Grimsley, Economou, Barnes, Mayhall, Nature Communications 10, 3007 (2019) Slide taken from talk : UCLA, Sophia Economou, Adaptive quantum simulation algorithms

#### Inputs:



ADAPT-VQE : compact and accurate ansatze



ButsometimesfaceenergyplateausLocal minima θ barren plateaus



Unwise addition of operators is a problem:

- Overparameterised ansatz
- Increase the circuit depth

issues

- No energy improvements

Variational state preparation





www.acs.org



$$\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0,\phi,\psi\rangle + |1,\phi,\psi\rangle)}{|0\rangle - H} + H + F$$

$$\frac{|\phi\rangle}{|\psi\rangle} + \frac{|1,\phi,\psi\rangle}{|\psi\rangle}$$





$$\begin{split} \boxed{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0,\phi,\psi\rangle+|1,\phi,\psi\rangle)} & \boxed{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0,\phi,\psi\rangle+|1,\psi,\phi\rangle)} \\ & |0\rangle - H & H & H & |0\rangle \\ & |\phi\rangle & H & |0\rangle \\ & |\psi\rangle & |0\rangle \\ & \frac{1}{1} & |0\rangle(|\phi,\psi\rangle+|\psi,\phi\rangle) + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle(|\phi,\psi\rangle-|\psi,\phi\rangle) \\ & \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle(|\phi,\psi\rangle+|\psi|\langle\phi|)\frac{1}{2}(|\phi\rangle|\psi\rangle+|\psi\rangle|\phi\rangle) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}|\langle\psi|\phi\rangle \\ \end{split}$$

Feniou, Hassan, Giner, Maday, Piquemal, Communications Physics 6, 192 (2023)

Grows the ansatz by <u>maximizing its overlap</u> with any intermediate target wave-function that captures some electronic correlation.

Inputs:

- 1. Target/reference wave-function
- 2. Pool of operators
- 3. Initial state

Procedure :

ADAPT-VQE with a projector on the target state as hamiltonian:

$$H = \left|\Psi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{ref}} \left\langle\Psi\right|_{\mathrm{ref}}$$

Feniou, Hassan, Giner, Maday, Piquemal, Communications Physics 6, 192 (2023)



#### Example target wavefunction : ADAPT-VQE ansatz stuck in an energy plateau



The Overlap-ADAPT-VQE ansatz achieves higher accuracy and/or shorter circuits

#### Example target wavefunction : Classical CIPSI wave-function



Initialising the ansatz with a CIPSI-Overlap-ADAPT-VQE procedure drives the ADAPT-VQE to chemical accuracy with **40 parameters** instead of **over 150**.

Feniou, C., Adjoua, O., Claudon, B., Zylberman, J., Giner, E., & Piquemal, J. P. (2024). Sparse quantum state preparation for strongly correlated systems. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, *15*(11), 3197-3205.

The overlap between initial state and ground state drives the success probability in QPE.

For strongly correlated systems , the overlap between **Hartree-Fock** state and ground state **vanishes** (orthogonality catastrophe)

Taking classically-derived correlated wavefunction as initial states would enhance the ground state support

Strategy: Quantum state preparation of CI wave-function

Fomichev, Stepan, et al. arXiv:2310.18410 (2023).



Selected-CI wave function, is mapped as a sparse quantum state in the computational basis (particle-, spin-symmetries).

 $|\Psi_{\rm SCI}\rangle = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{V}} c_i |\phi_i\rangle = c_0 |11110000\rangle + c_1 |11100100\rangle + \dots + c_n |00001111\rangle$ 

Selected-CI wave function, is mapped as a sparse quantum state in the computational basis (particle-, spin-symmetries).

 $|\Psi_{\rm SCI}\rangle = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{V}} c_i |\phi_i\rangle = c_0 |11110000\rangle + c_1 |11100100\rangle + \dots + c_n |00001111\rangle$ 

Quantum State Preparation can be achieved by <u>direct loading of expansion coefficients</u> at the corresponding determinants/computational basis vectors, or <u>with a variational algorithm.</u>

**Direct loading** : loading *M* determinants in a *n*-qubit space has a circuit depth complexity in with CVO-QRAM\*

\*de Veras, T. M. L.; da Silva, L. D.; da Silva, A. J. Double sparse quantum state preparation. Quantum Information Processing 2022, 21, 204

Variational algorithm : approaching the target state with parameterised unitary rotations, with Overlap-ADAPT-VQE, undeterministic success

Feniou, C., Adjoua, O., Claudon, B., Zylberman, J., Giner, E., & Piquemal, J. P. (2024). Sparse quantum state preparation for strongly correlated systems. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, *15*(11), 3197-3205.



- 28-qubit simulation on GPU-accelerated emulator
- Overlap-ADAPT-VQE yields much smaller circuits than CVO-QRAM for any target fidelity
- With ~100 iterations, it reaches the fidelity of a 10k determinants CI wavefunction

What can we conclude from this?

- It is likely large CI wave-functions could be encoded as compact Ansatze in the quantum device, the Overlap-ADAPT-VQE algorithm can achieve such encoding
- However, it here needs to classically simulate the whole quantum circuit: NOT SCALABLE

How to scale this process to the **100-qubit regime and beyond**?

- **Approximate** quantum circuit simulation with MPS
- Loading CI wavefunction with CVO-QRAM, then compacting with Overlap-ADAPT-VQE



Polylogarithmic-depth n-Control-NOT gate

# 03 Oracle decomposition

Multi-control NOT gate

- All FTQC quantum algorithms rely on oracles (Black-box unitary operations)
- The algorithm complexity is expressed as 'number of calls to the oracle'
- Can these black-box oracles be *efficiently* decomposed into usual quantum gates?



Typical QPE oracles for Hamiltonian evolution operator



Multi-control-U gate used in CVO-QRAM

#### Polylog-depth decomposition of multi-control NOT gates

Claudon, B., Zylberman, J., Feniou, C. *et al.* Polylogarithmic-depth controlled-NOT gates without ancilla qubits. *Nat Commun* 15, 5886 (2024).

- Multi-control operations are building blocks of countless quantum algorithms
- State-of-the-art decompositions scale linearly with the number of controls
- We proposed a **polylogarithmic decomposition**



Method	Circuit depth	Borrowed	
Barenco 1 15	48n - 148	1	
Barenco $n-2$ 15	24n - 43	n-2	
Proposition 1	$43\log(n)^3 - 1287$	1	

TABLE II: Circuit depth of borrowed ancillae methods. The depths are numerically fitted in the range from  $10^2$  to  $10^7$  control qubits.



FIG. 1: *n* controlled  $C_R^t$  using the zeroed ancilla *a*, where  $p = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  and  $R_i$  is a register of at most *p* qubits





FIG. 1: *n* controlled  $C_R^t$  using the zeroed ancilla *a*, where  $p = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  and  $R_i$  is a register of at most *p* qubits



### Conclusion and perspectives



The original QPE algorithm



## Quantum computational chemistry





www.acs.org

